

**Peer Group Evaluation**  
**Permanent Forest Plot Project**  
**Ecological Research as Education Network (EREN)**  
**Primary Authors: Jerald Dosch, Macalester College and Laurie Anderson, Ohio Wesleyan University**

Your Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Course: \_\_\_\_\_

- A. Please provide numerical scores for each of the members of the group, **including yourself**. When evaluating the members of the group, consider the following: 1) Did the person attend all of the class/lab meetings and outside class/lab meetings of your group; 2) Did the person ask relevant questions and make valuable contributions to discussions that promoted understanding; 3) Did the person contribute significant ideas to the group project; 4) Did the person fulfill the responsibilities of his/her role for this project; 5) Did the person demonstrate knowledge of the course material necessary for this project; 6) Did the person demonstrate good work ethic by doing her/his fair share of the work in a timely manner; and 7) Did the person demonstrate respect for other members of the group?

Assign a score from 1 to 5 for each of the seven categories, *with a 5 being the highest score*. Use this scale to help you assign a score for each category: 5 = “excellent- above and beyond expectations”, 4 = “above expectations”, 3 = “met expectations”, 2 = “below expectations”, and 1 = “very poor- significantly below expectations”. The overall score is the sum of the seven categories and should be a number between 7 and 35. Remember, your scores should be based on behavior and contributions, not personalities or relationships.

Name (role)	Attend.	Discuss	Ideas	Role	Knowl.	Work Ethic	Respect	Overall total
1. <i>your name</i>								
2. _____								
3. _____								
4. _____								
5. _____								

- B. Please provide additional comments about each member of the group, **including yourself**. In particular, it is important to explain very high and very low scores.

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

C. Give one specific example of something you learned by working in a group that you probably wouldn't have learned otherwise. This could be content-related, some aspect of group interactions, or ideas to make future group work run more smoothly.

D. Acknowledgement of other individual(s) who assisted this group in completing the project:

E. My signature below indicates that I have devoted considerable thought and effort to the evaluation of my peers and that this evaluation has been completed to the best of my knowledge and ability.

signed \_\_\_\_\_ date \_\_\_\_\_