EREN Permanent Forest Plot Project (PFPP) PRESENTATION OR PAPER GRADING CRITERIA

GROUP _____ Evaluator ____

GROUP Evaluator					
INTRODUCTION					
Background info that is relevant to research question	1	2	3	4	5
Correctly cited sources	1	2	3	4	5
Clearly stated research question	1	2	3	4	5
METHODS					
Study site description: Was the site/plot well described (location, forest type/age, disturbance, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5
Experimental/project design: What groups or variables did you compare? Did you replicate? What was your sample size?	1	2	3	4	5
Data collection: What was measured? How? (only include the data you use)	1	2	3	4	5
Data analysis: What statistical test(s) did you use? Why?	1	2	3	4	5
RESULTS					
Clear, accurate table(s) with table titles in correct format	1	2	3	4	5
Clear, accurate graph(s) with figure legends and labeled axes in correct format	1	2	3	4	5
Clear, accurate presentation of results	1	2	3	4	5
Statistical results clearly presented	1	2	3	4	5
Statistical results accurately interpreted	1	2	3	4	5
DISCUSSION					
Clear, concise conclusion. What is the answer to your question? What makes you think so?	1	2	3	4	5
Relevance of your results and how they relate to the bigger picture	1	2	3	4	5
Discussion of your results in the context of the literature	1	2	3	4	5
Correctly cited sources	1	2	3	4	5
Suggestions for others who might replicate your experiment	1	2	3	4	5
GENERAL					
References: external and internal citations match and formatted correctly	1	2	3	4	5
Presentation/Writing style: Presentation: good eye contact, clear voice, slide format, etc Writing: clear, concise language; past tense; first person	1	2	3	4	5
Clear, effective format Presentations: Slide format Writing: paper format	1	2	3	4	5
Length: Presentations: Good timing not too long or short Writing: Concise but thorough, not repetitive	1	2	3	4	5
Presentation Only: Questions answered effectively	1	2	3	4	5

TOTAL POINTS: Presentation (_____/110) OR Paper (_____/105)